

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A61K 31/495, 31/70, 31/47		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/25044 (43) International Publication Date: 17 July 1997 (17.07.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/DK97/00013		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AT (Utility model), AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, CZ (Utility model), DE, DE (Utility model), DK, DK (Utility model), EE, EE (Utility model), ES, FI, FI (Utility model), GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SK (Utility model), TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(22) International Filing Date: 10 January 1997 (10.01.97)			
(30) Priority Data: 0022/96 11 January 1996 (11.01.96) DK 08/603,105 20 February 1996 (20.02.96) US			
(71)(72) Applicants and Inventors: JENSEN, Peter, Buhl [DK/DK]; Dorthesvej 6B, DK-3520 Parum (DK). SEHESTED, Maxwell [DK/DK]; Trondhjemsgade 11, st.tv., DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø (DK).			
(74) Agent: PLOUGMANN, VINGTOFT & PARTNERS A/S; Sankt Annæ Plads 11, P.O. Box 3007, DK-1021 Copenhagen K (DK).		Published <i>With international search report.</i>	

(54) Title: TOPOISOMERASE II POISON AND BIS-DIOXYPIPERAZINE DERIVATIVE COMBINATION THERAPY**(57) Abstract**

The present invention relates to a method for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within a defined compartment of the organism of a large mammal, in particular a human, said method comprising administering to a mammal an effective tumour- or metastasis-killing amount of a topoisomerase II poison except doxorubicin, and protecting non-tumourous tissue of the mammal against the toxic action of the topoisomerase II poison by administration of a bis-dioxypiperazine compound. In particular, the invention relates to a pharmaceutical kit for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within the central nervous system of a large mammal, in particular a human, said kit comprising: a) a dosage unit of a bis-dioxypiperazine and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, and b) a dosage unit of topoisomerase II poisons except doxorubicin and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

TOPOISOMERASE II POISON AND BIS-DIOXYPIPERAZINE DERIVATIVE COMBINATION THERAPY

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates to the treatment of malignant conditions which are sensitive to topoisomerase II poisons. In particular, the invention relates to a method for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within the central nervous system of a large mammal such as a human.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Studies concerning patients suffering from primary cancer outside the central nervous system (CNS) show that 20-25% of the patients develop metastasis of the CNS. However, the risk of developing metastases is dependent on the specific cancer form.

The metastatic complications are very often the patient's first symptom of cancer and may give rise to serious neurological complications at a time when the patient is unaffected by the primary cancer.

The treatment of primary cancer and metastasis of the CNS is far from satisfactory. Most measures, whether radiotherapy or chemotherapy, are limited by the fact that the treatment also affects the normal tissue; accordingly, the tolerance of the normal tissue to the specific treatment is of great importance. The most serious side-effect of chemotherapy is myelosuppression.

The target site for cytotoxic drugs differs significantly among cancer drugs. The essential nuclear enzyme topoisomerase II allows the separation of intertwined DNA strands by creating a transient double stranded break in the DNA backbone. This catalytic cycle of topoisomerase II is believed to be the target of some of the most successful antitumour

agents used today, e.g. etoposide (VP-16) in the treatment of testicular and small cell lung cancer (2). There is solid evidence that etoposide, as well as a number of other clinically successful antitumour agents such as daunorubicin and doxorubicin (Adriamycin) (28), are active by inhibiting the resealing of the DNA breaks created by topoisomerase II (19, 21). Although the precise cell killing mechanism is unknown, an obligatory step for the cytotoxicity of the topoisomerase II targeting agents is an increase in cleavable complexes between DNA and topoisomerase II (19). This complex mechanism of cell killing is susceptible to drug modulation.

The clinically active DNA intercalating drug, aclarubicin, completely antagonizes the cytotoxicity of topoisomerase II targeting agents such as etoposide, teniposide, m-AMSA, daunorubicin, and oxaunomycin (15, 16, 17). Not only aclarubicin, but also several other DNA binding agents such as ethidium bromide (24), 9-aminoacridines (7, 20), and chloroquine (18) can antagonize the cytotoxicity of topoisomerase II targeting agents. It is believed that these DNA binding drugs inhibit the initial DNA binding step of the enzyme and thereby suppress the interaction between the enzyme, the topoisomerase II targeting drug, and DNA. Recently, more specific interactions with topoisomerase II have been described. Thus, it appears that cation chelating bis-dioxy-piperazines may lock topoisomerase II at its magnesium/ATP binding site at the stage of the catalytic cycle where the homodimeric enzyme is thought to be in the form of a closed bracelet surrounding the DNA (22, 23). By locking the enzyme, the bis-dioxypiperazine seems to hinder topoisomerase II poisons from exerting their cytotoxicity. Thus, the bis-dioxypiperazine derivative ICRF-187 abolishes both DNA breaks and cytotoxicity caused by the topoisomerase II poisons etoposide and daunorubicin (25).

The antagonistic effect of ICRF-187 has been observed on the drug daunorubicin (14), but not on the chemically very similar doxorubicin, and this latter topoisomerase II poison is

theretore excluded from the invention. Similarly no antagonism on clerocidin has been found.

BRIEF DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides an effective treatment of
5 cancer by utilizing the antagonistic effect of a bis-dioxypiperazine on a topoisomerase II poison.

More specifically, the present invention relates to the treatment of malignant conditions which are sensitive to topoisomerase II poisons wherein the normal tissue is substantially protected from the poison by the bis-dioxypiperazine whereby the malignant conditions can be treated with higher dosages of the topoisomerase II poison.

In particular, the invention relates to a method for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within the central nervous system of a large mammal, such as a human. In the following description, the term patient may be used as a synonym for a large mammal.

The method comprises administration, e.g to a human, of an effective CNS-tumour- or metastasis-killing amount of a topoisomerase II poison except doxorubicin together with administration of a bis-dioxypiperazine compound. The non-CNS tissue of the patient is preferentially protected against the toxic action of the topoisomerase II poison by the bis-dioxypiperazine compound whereby increased dosages of the topoisomerase II poison are tolerated compared to the conventional administration of the topoisomerase II poison alone.

Topoisomerase II poisons such as etoposide are usually already used in maximally tolerated doses in the clinic, and therefore, dose increments which otherwise might have overcome drug resistance are not feasible. However, use of an antagonist together with an agonist according to the present invention may give new prospects. However, if such models of

manipulation of etoposide effect are to be useful; they should enable significant dose escalations *in vivo*.

Here it is demonstrated that ICRF-187 in a mouse model markedly antagonizes the toxicity of etoposide *in vivo* and 5 accordingly carries the prospect of powerful effect regulation.

Furthermore, it has surprisingly been found that when ICRF-187 is used with etoposide *in vivo*, the normal treatment schedule for etoposide relating to treatment every day in 3 10 days or in 5 days is not effective. Thus, the simultaneous use of ICRF-187 in the treatment with etoposide involves a completely new approach to the treatment schedule of topoisomerase II poisons.

Etoposide, VP-16, is a well known drug for use in the treatment 15 of several cancers such as breast cancers, testicular cancers, small cell lung cancers, malignant lymphomas, and leukemias. These cancer forms are very often complicated with metastases to the CNS.

According to the present invention, topoisomerase II poisons 20 are drugs such as the above-mentioned etoposide (VP-16), etoposide-phosphate, teniposide (VM-26) (has a potency which is about 10 fold more potent than VP-16), m-AMSA, daunorubicin, and mitoxantrone. Moreover, any topoisomerase II poison which inhibits the relegation step of the nuclear enzyme 25 topoisomerase II at a step where the enzyme has created a cleavable complex in DNA is within the scope of the invention.

In the present context the term "conditions which are sensitive to topoisomerase II poisons" also includes any disease 30 which would normally not be considered "sensitive" due to toxic side effects of the topoisomerase II poisons.

With respect to toxic side effect of the topoisomerase II poisons, the side effect weight loss has also been reduced by simultaneous treatment with ICRF-187. In this respect, the site of protection *in vivo* has been investigated in mice, and
5 by organ histochemistry there appeared to be less toxicity in the gut by the method according to the present invention compared to treatment with the topoisomerase II poison alone. On the other hand, protection was surprisingly not observed with respect to leucocyte counts as leucopenia was observed
10 in both cases.

According to the present invention, any ratio of the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine combination wherein an increased effect is observed compared to the administration of the topoisomerase alone may be used.

15 In practice, the side effect of VP-16 will be the limiting factor for the maximum dosage to be administered. It is contemplated that the effect of ICRF-187 shows a maximum in a range wherein the drug is relatively non-toxic and therefore VP-16 (etoposide) may be used in relatively high dosages
20 compared to ICRF-187.

With respect to ICRF-187 it will be the side effect of the ICRF-187 which will determine the maximum dosage of this drug. However, it should be noted that in cancer treatment regimes, the cytotoxic treatment is often followed or accompanied by a second therapy for stimulation of the hemopoietic tissue, as myelosuppression such as leucopenia and thrombocytopenia is the side effect which normally limits the dosage of the cytostatic drugs which the patient can tolerate. Accordingly, very high dosages of topoisomerase II
25 poison may be used according to the invention as in such instances the treatment may be performed together with a supporting therapy. In such high dosage treatment schedules, VP-16 may be used in dosages up to 10 g/m².

In the present context, equitoxic and equipotent dosages of topoisomerase II poisons are defined as dosages capable of inducing similar toxic effect or anticancer effect, respectively. By dosage is meant the total amount of drug which is 5 administered in a single administration. Generally, cytotoxic drugs are administered as one daily dosage.

According to the present invention, the term large mammal relates in particular to humans. However, domestic animals, such as dogs, may be treated according to the present invention, if desired. Rodents are not within the scope of a large 10 mammal.

In another aspect, the invention relates to a pharmaceutical kit for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within the central nervous system of a large mammal, in particular a 15 human, comprising a dosage unit of a bis-dioxypiperazine and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and a dosage unit of topoisomerase II poisons except doxorubicin and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The kit may further comprise the two dosage units in a single infusion system wherein the two 20 dosage units are separated in two individual bags.

The dosage units may comprise the active ingredients as a dry substance, in concentrates suitable for dilution in accordance with the conventional formulation of the drugs, including tablet forms for the topoisomerase II poison.

25 In one embodiment, the kit comprises the two dosage units in separate containers, e.g. infusion bags which may be administered separately to the patient. In another embodiment, the two containers are connected, e.g by a Y-shaped tube, to a single infusion tube. Furthermore, additional containers, 30 e.g. comprising neutral fluids, may be connected to the kit whereby the infusion tube may be flushed between the separate infusion of each of the drugs.

As mentioned above, etoposide is often used in a 3- to 5-day schedule in patients. However, when etoposide was used in a 5-day schedule in mice, the ICRF-187 mediated protection *in vivo* was not obtained. Protection was observed when etoposide 5 was used once, once every five days, and once every week.

Comparison of different treatment schedules is shown in Table A from which it is clearly apparent that repeated treatments with the combination of the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine on day 1, day 3, and day 5 (every two 10 days) result in considerable more deaths than the treatment on day 1, day 5 and day 9 (every four days) or on day 1, day 8, and day 15 (every seven days) even though a small tendency of protection by ICRF-187 is seen in the lower dosages of etoposide of 50 and 70 mg/kg.

15

Brief discussion of the results

The present study demonstrates that ICRF-187 antagonizes m-AMSA and etoposide mediated lethal toxicity in mice. Thus, ICRF-187 is an antidote which is effective against both 20 intercalating and non-intercalating types of topoisomerase II poisons. This antagonism is marked as ICRF-187 enables a 3.6 fold increase in LD₁₀ of etoposide, i.e. a 3.6 fold increase in the dose which is killing 10% of the mice. Similar data have been obtained with teniposide resulting in a 3.4 fold 25 increase in LD₁₀ of teniposide. It is also remarkable that this protection was obtained within a large range of non-toxic ICRF-187 doses.

In vitro evidence suggests that this protection is related to an effect on topoisomerase II (22, 25, 27) and not to an 30 inhibition of the formation of toxic free radicals by chelation of free iron. As ICRF-187 is a divalent cation chelator, a hypothesis would be that ICRF-187 interacts at the late magnesium/ATP binding stage of the enzyme's catalytic cycle where the homodimeric topoisomerase II is thought to have the 35 form of a closed bracelet surrounding the DNA. Roca et al.

(22) recently performed in vitro studies demonstrating a bis-dioxypiperazine induced trapping of the enzyme in the form of a closed protein clamp. By reversible blocking at this stage, ICRF-187 is believed to be able to antagonize the religation
5 inhibition invoked by topoisomerase II poisons.

However, it is not clear whether this marked in vivo protection of topoisomerase II poisons by ICRF-187 can be put to clinical use. Accordingly, the antitumour effect of equitoxic doses of etoposide and etoposide plus ICRF-187 was tested in
10 mice bearing L1210 leukemia and a synergistic effect of the combination was found. From the knowledge of antagonism of etoposide cytotoxicity of tumour cells in clonogenic assay (25), no advantage of an i.p./i.p. co-treatment of an ascites tumour with ICRF-187 and etoposide would be expected. In
15 accordance with this, the antitumour effect of equitoxic doses of etoposide and etoposide plus ICRF-187 was almost identical in mice with Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells which are basically confined to the peritoneal cavity (Table 5). In contrast, however, when L1210 cells were used which are known
20 to metastasize readily (26), there was a trend towards a synergistic effect of the drug combination (Table 5). Finally a significant difference between etoposide alone and etoposide and protector was obtained when L1210 cells were inoculated into the cerebrum. Similar data have been obtained with
25 Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells.

Although it is envisaged that an i.v. administration of the topoisomerase II poison and its antagonist ICRF-187 only has a clear effect when the tumour is situated within the CNS, the combination of the two drugs can be beneficial in other
30 settings. Thus, ICRF-187 can protect systemically when the topoisomerase II poison is administered into a tumour containing a confined compartment. Such a compartment could be the peritoneal cavity or the pleural cavity, i.e. the ICRF-187 compound is administered i.v. whereas the topoisomerase
35 II poison is administered locally. This approach can be useful e.g. in the treatment of mesothelioma or metastases

from breast or lung cancer in the pleura or treatment of metastases of ovarian cancer and mammary cancer in the peritoneum. The optimum timing of such administrations can vary according to the specific compartment, the specific tumour
5 and the topoisomerase poison.

In the conventional treatment schedules for cancer therapy, dosages to be received are calculated on the basis of the patients' surface area. Generally, the formula of Du Bois is used for calculation of the surface of an individual as
10 follows: $A = W^{0.425} \times H^{0.725} \times 0.007184$ wherein A = area (m^2), W = weight (kg) and H = height (cm).

The formula has been converted to a nomogram wherein the relevant area can be read based on weight and height.

The corresponding dosages for a human and mice can be calculated according to the above, taken together with the conversion factor being so that 1 mg/kg in a large animal, such as a human of a height of 180 cm and weighing 70 kg corresponding to a surface area of about $1.87 m^2$, corresponds to 3 mg/kg in a mouse.
15

20 It is believed that when used in the CNS the benefit of the method according to the present invention is an interaction of the antagonism of the drugs on the one hand and the pharmacological obstacle of the blood brain barrier on the other hand. Etoposide and its analogue teniposide pass the blood
25 brain barrier (6), and the concentration of these drugs is especially high in CNS-metastases. In contrast, the concentration of the hydrophile ICRF-187 is very low in the cerebrospinal fluid (29); therefore, the combination of ICRF-187 and etoposide is especially of value in patients with etoposide sensitive brain tumours or metastases, as the combination would allow significant etoposide dose escalation by way
30 of protection of normal tissues outside the CNS. In this context it is notable that etoposide is the most important single agent in the treatment of small cell lung cancer

(SCLC), a disease which very often relapses in the CNS. This combination of drugs may be valuable in patients with SCLC and brain metastasis.


However, the principle of protection according to the present
5 invention may be utilized in any other compartment of the body where the protecting bis-dioxypiperazine can be separated from a compartment where the tumour cells are present and where the cytotoxic drug is active.

It has been demonstrated that ICRF-187 is a non-toxic powerful protector of m-AMSA, daunorubicin, teniposide and etoposide toxicity *in vivo* allowing significant dose escalations. The etoposide dose increment made possible by treatment with ICRF-187 in non-toxic doses has surprisingly improved the effectiveness of treatment in mice inoculated intracranially 15 with L1210 tumour cells. ICRF-187 therefore shows promise for increased tumour versus host selectivity.

In another aspect of the invention, the method relates to the treatment of a patient suffering from drug resistance towards a topoisomerase poison. Drug resistance is a condition where-
20 in tumour cell killing cannot be obtained with dosages which have previously shown tumour killing effect.

DETAILED DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The bis-dioxypiperazine compounds, to which the present invention relates, are bis(3,5-dioxopiperazine-1-yl) alkanes 25 having a structure as shown in the general formula I:



wherein R¹ is not the same as R² and R¹ and R² are hydrogen, or alkyl with 1-4 carbon atoms. The compounds may be in the (-) levo, (+) dextro or (+/-) racemic form. Preferably, R¹ is methyl and R² is hydrogen.

5 In a first aspect the present invention relates to a method for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within the central nervous system of a large mammal, in particular a human, comprising administering to a mammal an effective CNS-tumour- or metastasis-killing amount of a topoisomerase II poison except doxorubicin, and protecting non-CNS tissue of the mammal against the toxic action of the topoisomerase II poison by administration of a bis-dioxypiperazine compound,

10 the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine compound being selected and administered, with respect to their amounts, expressed in mg per m² of the mammal and with respect to the ratio between their amounts, in such a manner that in mice, the corresponding amounts (the conversion factor being so that 1 mg/kg in a large animal, such as a human of a height of 180 cm and weighing 70 kg corresponding to a surface area of about 1.87 m², corresponds to 3 mg/kg in a mouse) will conform to the following criteria:

15 i) in mice inoculated into the cerebrum with 15×10⁴ Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells or 10×10⁴ L1210 leukemia cells in a volume of 30 µl of isotonic sodium chloride inoculated on day 0 into the temporal region, treatment i.p. on day 2 with the amount of the topoisomerase II poison and with simultaneous treatment with the amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine compound results in an increased life span of at least 125% compared to the increased life span in mice treated with

20 topoisomerase II poison alone in an equitoxic dose (the equi-

toxic dose being calculated as the dose which in healthy mice results in a similar lethality as the combination); and

5 ii) in mice treated with the topoisomerase II poison alone and combined with the bis-dioxypiperazine compound, respectively, the LD₁₀ of the combination is at least 25% higher than the LD₁₀ of the topoisomerase II poison alone.

In an additional aspect related to the above mentioned criterion i), the treatment with the amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine compound results in an increased life span of at least 10 150%, such as at least 200%, preferably at least 250%, such as about 300%, compared to the increased life span in mice treated with topoisomerase II poison alone in an equitoxic dose as defined above.

15 In a further aspect of the invention related to the above mentioned criterion ii) in mice treated with the topoisomerase II poison alone and combined with the bis-dioxypiperazine compound, respectively, the LD₁₀ of the combination is at least 50% higher, such as at least 100% higher, preferably at 20 least 150% higher, than the LD₁₀ of the topoisomerase II poison alone.

In a still further aspect of the invention related to the above mentioned criterion ii) in mice treated with the topoisomerase II poison alone and combined with the bis-dioxypiperazine compound, respectively, the LD₁₀ of the combination is at least 250% higher, even more preferred at least 200% higher, preferably at least 300% higher, more preferred at least 350% higher, such as 360% higher, than the LD₁₀ of the topoisomerase II poison alone.

30 In addition to criteria i) and ii) mentioned above, the method may further conform to the following criterion:

iii) in mice inoculated i.p. with 15×10⁶ Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells on day 0, treatment i.p. on day 4 with the

amount of the topoisomerase II poison and with simultaneous treatment with the amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine compound results in an increased life span of at the most 200%, such as at the most 150%, preferably at the most 125%, compared to 5 the increased life span in mice treated with the topoisomerase II poison alone in an equitoxic dose (the equitoxic dose being defined above).

The interesting issue of criterion iii) above is that the model clearly indicates that the protecting effect of the 10 tissue outside the CNS has a clear effect when the tumour is situated within the CNS. However, as the patient may suffer from a primary cancer outside the CNS, the treatment of the patient may be accomplished by conventional treatment of this cancer, e.g. by topoisomerase II poison in conventional 15 dosages.

Generally, according to the present invention, the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a dosage amount equivalent to at least 200 mg/m² of etoposide, such as at least 500 mg/m² of etoposide, preferably at least 1000 mg/m² of etopo-20 side, more preferably at least 1200 mg/m² of etoposide, even more preferred at least 1500 mg/m² of etoposide.

It will be obvious to the skilled person that the dosage may vary among the patients and also in the same patient, as the 25 dosages may be calculated based on the patient's leucocyte count, platelet count and other factors relating to the hemopoietic status of the patient at the time of treatment.

Accordingly, in a further aspect of the invention the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a dosage amount equivalent to at least 2000 mg/m² of etoposide, such as at least 30 2500 mg/m² of etoposide, preferably at least 3000 mg/m² of etoposide, such as 3500 mg/m² of etoposide.

Such high dosages are indicated in patients who tolerate the topoisomerase II poison rather well, or because the bis-

dioxypiperazine enables the dosages to be increased due to a superior protection of the combination outside the CNS. Cytotoxic drugs are generally rather well tolerated in the CNS compared to other tissues.

5 The bis-dioxypiperazine compound is preferably administered to the mammal in a dosage amount equivalent to at least 200 mg/m² of ICRF-187 such as at least 500 mg/m² of ICRF-187, preferably at least 1000 mg/m² of ICRF-187, more preferably at least 1200 mg/m² of ICRF-187, even more preferred at least
10 1500 mg/m² of ICRF-187.

The bis-dioxypiperazine compounds according to the present invention are generally well tolerated by patients. Accordingly, if desired, the dosage may be increased. This will often be preferred in situations where a high dosage of
15 topoisomerase poison II needs to be administered, e.g. in a schedule where single very high dosages are administered with long intervals.

Thus, in a further aspect of the invention the bis-dioxypiperazine compound is administered to the mammal in a dosage amount equivalent to at least 2000 mg/m² of ICRF-187, such as
20 at least 2500 mg/m² of ICRF-187, preferably at least 3000 mg/m² of ICRF-187, such as 3500 mg/m² of ICRF-187.

As mentioned above, any ratio between the dosage amount of topoisomerase II poison and the dosage amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine which results in an increased survival compared to the equitoxic dosage of the topoisomerase II poison alone is within the scope of the invention. Nevertheless, it is believed that the best results will be obtained with ratios in the range of 1:6 - 6:1, such as 1:4 - 4:1, preferably in the range of 1:3 - 3:1, calculated on the weight of
25 the active drugs. However, in the case of very potent drugs such as VP-26, the bis-dioxypiperazine may be used in relatively higher dosages than indicated with the above-mentioned ratios.
30

However, also in the situations where the most optimum ratio of the combination for a patient is not known, the ratio between the dosage amount of topoisomerase II poison and the dosage amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine to be administered 5 is preferably in the range of 1:2 - 2:1, more preferably in the range of 1.5:2 - 2:1.5, the most preferred ratio being 1:1, calculated on the weight of the active drugs, as such ratios are believed to be sufficient in most cases.

In a special embodiment of the invention, the topoisomerase 10 II poison is administered in a high dosage amount such as any dosage of between 3 and 10 g/m² or even up to 15 g/m². For many reasons, high dosages may be desirable, and up to the present invention, very high dosages would only be administered together with a supporting treatment such as stimulation 15 of the hemopoietic tissue and/or followed by transplantation of hemopoietic tissue.

In a still further embodiment of the invention, the method may comprise additional therapy for stimulation of the hemopoietic tissue and/or transplantation of hemopoietic tissue.

20 In another embodiment of the invention, the mammal further receives a topoisomerase II poison alone and/or one or more other anticancer agents. This is especially the case when metastases of the CNS are treated.

The topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine 25 should be administered substantially simultaneously so that the protection of the tissue outside the CNS is present when the topoisomerase II poison exerts its cytotoxic effect.

Accordingly, in one aspect of the invention the bis-dioxypiperazine is administered prior to the administration of the 30 topoisomerase II.

In a further aspect the bis-dioxypiperazine is administered in the period of from 72 hours before the administration of

the topoisomerase II poison to 24 hours after, such as from 48 hours before to 12 hours after, more preferred from 24 hours before to 6 hours after, even more preferred from 12 hours before to 3 hours after, still more preferred from 6 hours before to 1 hour after, most preferred from 3 hours before to 1 hour after the administration of the topoisomerase II poison.

As the results indicate, it is believed that it may be an advantage to treat the patients with different intervals between the administration of the dosages than in the conventional schedules wherein the patient is treated every day for 3 or 5 days. Accordingly, in one embodiment the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a schedule where an administration is separated from the next administration by about 12 hours, such as by 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7 days, although about 24 hours of separation may also be useful.

In another treatment according to the invention, each administration of the schedule is separated from the next administration by at least 2 days. Each administration may also be separated from the next administration by from about 3 days and up to about 3 weeks or even up to about 4 weeks if desired. One method according to the invention is a method wherein each administration is separated from the next administration by about 7 days.

According to the invention, administration of topoisomerase II poison except doxorubicin can be performed in various manners such as i.p., i.v., or by local application, such as localized injection. Likewise, the administration of a bis-dioxypiperazine can be performed i.p. or i.v.

In one aspect the present invention relates to the use of a dosage unit of topoisomerase II poisons except doxorubicin and a dosage unit of a bis-dioxypiperazine together with a suitable pharmaceutical carrier for the preparation of a

medicament for the treatment of malignant tumours of the CNS, including metastasis of the CNS of a mammal such as a human.

The present invention also relates to a pharmaceutical kit for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within the 5 central nervous system of a large mammal, in particular a human, said kit comprising

- a) a dosage unit of a bis-dioxypiperazine and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, and
- 10 b) a dosage unit of topoisomerase II poisons except doxorubicin and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

Fig. 1. shows the effect of ICRF-187 on the survival of mice treated with high-dose m-AMSA. Groups of 11 mice were treated 15 as stated in the materials and methods section. Survival is increased in the two groups treated with the combination of drugs as compared to the groups treated with m-AMSA alone. No mice died after day 20.

20 m-AMSA 45 mg/kg
m-AMSA 55 mg/kg
ICRF-187 125 mg/kg + m-AMSA 45 mg/kg
ICRF-187 125 mg/kg + m-AMSA 55 mg/kg

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The abbreviations used are: m-AMSA: (4'-(9-acridinylamino)-25 methanesulfone-m-aniside, amsakrin). ICRF-187: (ADR-529, dextrazoxane, [(+)-1,2-bis(3,5-dioxopiperazinyl-1-yl)propane]).

ICRF-187 (CardioxaneTM) purchased from EuroCetus B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands was dissolved in saline to 25 mg/ml. 30 Etoposide (20 mg/ml) and paclitaxel (6 mg/ml) were bought

from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Copenhagen, in solution for clinical use. m-AMSA purchased from Parke-Davis, Pontypool, Gwent, United Kingdom, was in N,N-dimethylacetamide solution and further diluted in acid lactose to 5 mg/ml.

5 In vivo experiments

First generation hybrids of female random bred Swiss mice and male inbred DBA (NDF1-hybrid) mice were used as previously described (5). The mice weighed between 19 and 21 g at the start of the experiments. Doses of etoposide, m-AMSA, and paclitaxel were adjusted according to weight and ICRF-187 was given in fixed doses either i.p. or i.v. in a tail vein 20 minutes before the investigated drug. Lethality was recorded and surviving mice were sacrificed after 60 days. Toxicity was evaluated by weight and leucocyte counts. For weight evaluations mice were treated with drugs, marked and weighed for eleven consecutive days. Blood for leucocyte counts was obtained from a tail vein and counted in a hemocytometer on days 3, 5 and 7 after treatment.

In therapy studies, mice were inoculated i.p. with either 10^5 L1210 leukemia cells or 15×10^6 Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells on day 0 and treated with drugs i.p. on day 4 after inoculation. The brain tumour model consisted of 10^4 L1210 cells or 15×10^4 Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells in a volume of 30 μ l of isotonic sodium chloride inoculated on day 0 into the temporal region followed by treatment i.p. on day 2, 3 or 4 after inoculation.

Using the log probit method (4, 5, 14) the LD₉₀ (i.e. the dose killing 90% of the animals) of ICRF-187 in mice treated once i.p. was estimated to be 1500 mg/kg and the LD₁₀ to be 500 mg/kg. First the range of ICRF-187 doses capable of protecting against etoposide induced lethality was estimated. In drug combination studies, concentrations in the non-toxic 50 mg/kg to 250 mg/kg range were used except in one experiment, where 500 mg/kg was used. These varying doses were combined

with a fixed dose of etoposide of 90 mg/kg. The results are shown in Table 1 and demonstrate that doses of ICRF-187 as low as 50 mg/kg provide good protection.

Next, the dose of etoposide was escalated up to 200 mg/kg and
5 a fixed dose of ICRF-187 was used as protector of etoposide induced lethality. The results are shown in Table 2. ICRF-187 still provides significant protection at 140 mg of etoposide/kg, whereafter the ICRF-187 mediated protection decreases and is negligible at an etoposide dose of 180 mg/kg. LD₁₀
10 and LD₅₀ values (i.e. doses killing 10% and 50% of the animals, respectively) were computed using the maximum likelihood method on the compiled data (Table 3), showing that while the LD₁₀ of etoposide alone is 34 mg/kg it is 122 mg/kg when combined with 125 mg/kg ICRF-187, corresponding to a
15 dose increment of etoposide of 360%. Similar results were obtained when ICRF-187 was given i.v. and etoposide i.p (data not shown). Thus, ICRF-187 is a powerful protector of etoposide induced lethality in mice. To test whether the ICRF-187 mediated antagonism translated to other topoisomerase II
20 poisons in vivo, the toxicity of the combination of ICRF-187 and m-AMSA in healthy mice was investigated using the same schedule as described above. ICRF-187 protects not only against the non-intercalative etoposide, but also against death induced by the DNA intercalative topoisomerase II
25 poison, m-AMSA. As the interaction between ICRF-187 and etoposide is considered to be on the target enzyme topoisomerase II, a non-topoisomerase II directed drug is not expected to be protected by ICRF-187. Therefore, the tubulin-directed drug paclitaxel (Taxol) in combination with ICRF-187 was not
30 expected to be protected. As shown in Table 4 paclitaxel induced lethality cannot be antagonized by ICRF-187.

The effect of combining etoposide and ICRF-187 in mice inoculated i.p. with either Ehrlich's ascites tumour or L1210 tumour cells was then investigated. ICRF-187 had no anti-tumour effect, while etoposide at 33 mg/kg as expected demonstrated an increase in life span. In combination with ICRF-

187 the dose of etoposide was escalated to 120 mg/kg and a non-significant increase in life span in three consecutive experiments using L1210 cells was observed while no synergy was found for Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells (Table 5).

5 The hypothesis that this difference in increase in life span could be caused by the metastatic potential of the L1210 leukemia cells and a possible difference in transport of the two drugs across the blood brain barrier was investigated. L1210 cells were therefore inoculated into the cerebrum and
10 10 the animals treated i.p. As demonstrated in Table 6, a significant increase in life span using the high-dose etoposide schedule combined with ICRF-187 was obtained compared to etoposide alone.

The toxicity of drug combinations was evaluated in healthy
15 mice by weight measurements. As shown in Table 7, the etoposide induced weight loss is largely prevented by ICRF-187.

Table A**Comparison of different treatment schedules**

		Repeated treatments			
		treatment day	1, 3, and 5 (every two days)	1, 5, and 9 (every four days)	1, 8, and 15 (every seven days)
5					
etoposide					
10	50	mg/kg	1/11	9/11	9/11
	70	-	0/11	2/11	0/11
	90	-	0/11	0/11	0/11
etoposide +					
100 mg/kg ICRF 187					
15	50		4/11	11/11	11/11
	70		2/11	11/11	11/11
	90		0/11	11/11	11/11
ICRF-187					
100 mg/kg					
(survivors/treated)					

Table 1.

Lethality of etoposide alone and combined with ICRF-187.
The etoposide dose is fixed and the doses of ICRF-187 vary.

5

Experiment #	Number of mice	VP-16 mg/kg	Survivors / treated	ICRF-187 + VP-16 mg/kg	Survivors / treated
# 1	11	90	1/11 (9%)	50 + 90	11/11 (100%)
				125 + 90	11/11 (100%)
				250 + 90	11/11 (100%)
# 2	11	90	3/11 (27%)	50 + 90	9/11 (82%)
				125 + 90	11/11 (100%)
				250 + 90	11/11 (100%)
# 3	11	90	5/11 (45%)	250 + 90	11/11 (100%)
				500 + 90	11/11 (100%)
# 4	11	90	2/11 (18%)	50 + 90	11/11 (100%)
				125 + 90	11/11 (100%)
				250 + 90	11/11 (100%)

10

The lethality of etoposide is decreased by pretreatment with ICRF-187 in low, non-toxic doses. Drugs were given once i.p. ICRF-187 was given immediately before etoposide.

Table 2.

Lethality of etoposide alone and combined with ICRF-187.

The ICRF-187 dose is fixed and the doses of etoposide vary.

5

Experiment #	Number of mice	VP-16 mg/kg	Survivors / treated	ICRF-187 + VP-16 mg/kg	Survivors / treated
# 1	11	90	8/11 (73%)	125 + 90	11/11 (100%)
		100	2/11 (18%)	125+100	11/11 (100%)
		120	1/11 (9%)	125+120	11/11 (100%)
		140	0/11 (0%)	125+140	11/11 (100%)
# 2	11	90	3/11 (27%)	125 + 90	11/11 (100%)
		100	2/11 (18%)	125+100	10/11 (91%)
		120	0/11 (0%)	125+120	8/11 (73%)
		140	1/11 (9%)	125+140	6/11 (55%)
# 3	11	90	8/11 (73%)	125 + 90	11/11 (100%)
		100	3/11 (27%)	125+100	11/11 (100%)
		120	1/11 (9%)	125+120	11/11 (100%)
		140	2/11 (18%)	125+100	9/11 (82%)
# 4	11	90	3/11 (27%)	125 + 90	9/11 (82%)
		140	1/11 (9%)	125+140	9/11 (82%)
		140	1/11 (9%)	125+140	1/11 (9%)
		200	0/11 0%	125+200	0/11 0%
10	# 5	90	3/11 (27%)	125+90	11/11 (100%)
		140	4/11 (36%)	125+140	11/11 (100%)
		180	0/11 (0%)	125+180	5/11 (45%)

		200	2/11 (18%)	125+200	2/11 (18%)
# 6	11	90	1/11 (9%)	125+90	11/11 (100%)
		140	0/11 (0%)	125+140	8/11 (73%)
		180	2/11 (18%)	125+180	5/11 (45%)
		200	1/11 (9%)	125+200	2/11 (18%)

In etoposide dose escalation experiments ICRF-187 still provides significant protection at 140 mg of etoposide/kg.

Table 3.

Estimation of LD₁₀ and of LD₅₀

	log LD ₁₀	SE	LD ₁₀ mg/kg	log LD ₅₀	SE	LD ₅₀ mg/kg
VP-16	1.531	0.123	34.0	1.853	0.051	71.3
ICRF + VP-16	2.088	0.009	122.4	2.214	0.011	163.8

5

Calculation of LD₁₀ and LD₅₀ using the maximum likelihood estimation. The 6 experiments in Table 2 are included in the computations.

Table 4.

Lethality of paclitaxel alone and combined with ICRF-187.

10

Pacli-taxel mg/kg	Survivors/ treated	%	Pacli-taxel + ICRF-187 mg/kg	Survivors/ treated	%
30	22/22	100	125 + 30	22/22	100
40	12/22	55	125 + 40	11/22	50
50	0/22	0	125 + 50	2/22	9

15

The compiled data from two experiments showing that paclitaxel induced lethality cannot be protected by pretreatment with ICRF-187. Groups of 11 mice were treated once i.p. with or without pretreatment with ICRF-187.

20

Table 5.

Treatment with etoposide and ICRF-187 in tumour bearing mice.

Ehrlich's tumour cells				L1210 tumour cells				
Etoposide		Etoposide + ICRF-187		Etoposide		Etoposide + ICRF-187		
Dose (mg/kg)		Dose (mg/kg)		Dose (mg/kg)		Dose (mg/kg)		
33		120 + 125		33		120 + 125		
N	ILS (%)	ILS (%)	p	N	ILS (%)	ILS (%)	p	
5	11	53	64	NS	22	113	131	NS
	11	40	43	NS	22	100	138	NS
10	11	35	36	NS	20	88	219	<0.01

Calculated values of LD₁₀ for etoposide alone (33 mg/kg) and for etoposide in combination with ICRF-187 (120 mg/kg) were used for treatment studies. 1×10⁵ L1210 tumour cells or 15×10⁶ Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells were inoculated i.p. on day 0 and mice were treated i.p. on day 4.

15 The Mann Whitney test was used for statistical evaluation.

Table 5A.

Calculations on the results from the treatment on mice bearing Ehrlich's tumour cells as shown in Table 5.

Ehrlich's tumour cells				Ehrlich's tumour cells increased life span			
5	Etoposide	Etoposide + ICRF-187		Etoposide		Etoposide + ICRF-187	
	Dose (mg/kg)	Dose (mg/kg)		Dose (mg/kg)		Dose (mg/kg)	
	33	120 + 125		33		120 + 125	
N	ILS (%)	ILS (%)	p		ILS (%)	in- crease in ILS (%)	ILS (%)
11	53	64	NS		100	21	121
10	40	43	NS		100	8	108
	35	36	NS		100	3	103

Table 5B.

Calculations on the results from the treatment on mice bearing L 1210 tumour cells as shown in Table 5.

L 1210 tumour cells				L 1210 tumour cells increased life span			
5	Etoposide	Etoposide + ICRF-187	Etoposide	Etoposide + ICRF-187			
	Dose (mg/kg)	Dose (mg/kg)	Dose (mg/kg)	Dose (mg/kg)			
33		120 + 125		33		120 + 125	
N	ILS (%)	ILS (%)	P		ILS (%)	increase in ILS (%)	ILS (%)
11	113	131	NS		100	16	116
10	11	100	138	NS	100	38	138
	11	88	219	<0.0 1	100	149	249

Table 6.

Treatment of mice inoculated i.c. with 10^4 L1210 tumour cells.

L1210 tumour cells inoculated into the cerebrum			
	Etoposide	Etoposide + ICRF-187	
5	Dose (mg/kg)	Dose (mg/kg)	
	33	120 + 125	
N	ILS (%)	ILS (%)	p
18	13	38	<0.01
18	21	38	<0.01
10	13	63	<0.01

Groups of 18 mice were inoculated on day 0 and treated on day 2. The Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical evaluation.

Table 6A.

Treatment of mice inoculated i.c. with 15×10^5 Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells.

Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells inoculated into the cerebrum			
5	Etoposide	Etoposide + ICRF-187	
	Dose (mg/kg)	Dose (mg/kg)	
	33	120 + 125	
N	ILS (%)	ILS (%)	P
9	11	33	NS

10 Groups of 9 mice were inoculated on day 0 and treated on day 2. The Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical evaluation.
 The results in Table 6A show an increased life span from 11% to 33% corresponding to 300% compared to the increased life span in mice treated with topoisomerase II poison alone in an equitoxic dose.

Table 7.

Weight at nadir (median and range in grams)	
Control	21.4 [20.4-21.8]
ICRF-187 125 mg/kg	21.2 [19.7-22.2]
Etoposide 90 mg/kg	15.4 [15.2-21.4]
ICRF-187 + Etoposide 125 mg/kg + 90 mg/kg	19.9 [18.0-20.8]

Groups of 5 mice were treated with drugs as stated and weighed for 11 consecutive days. Etoposide alone caused a median 6 g decrease in body weight, whereas the combination of drugs only resulted in a weight loss 15 of 1.5 g.

CLINICAL TRIAL

The following clinical test is performed:

1. Purpose

1. To determine the maximum tolerable dose (MTD) of etoposide in combination with a constant dose of ICRF-187 given once a week.
2. To evaluate the toxicity/tolerability of the combination treatment.

3. To evaluate the response rate of this treatment in patients having a histologically verified lung cancer and brain metastases verified by CT scanning.

2. Treatment doses and duration

5 Dose escalation scheme

Escalation number	ICRF-187 mg/m ²	Etoposide mg/m ²
10	1	1500
	2	1500
	3	1500
	4	1500
	5	1500
	6	1500
15	7	1500
		3000

These doses are given once a week during hospitalization until progressive disease or pronounced toxicity [grade IV common toxicity criteria (CTC)]. One treatment series is 20 constituted by weekly treatments during four consecutive weeks. After one series, the effect on tumour formation is evaluated. Then the weekly treatments are continued and the response evaluated every four weeks. The treatment is carried out in a total of 3 series, i.e. 12 treatments. One patient 25 is included at the first dose level; after this unexpected haematological and non-haematological side effects are awaited for one week. Two more patients are included at the same dose level. If, at this dose level, there is no grade II CTC toxicity, the dose is escalated to the next dose level. 30 If one out of three patients shows grade II CTC toxicity, three more patients are included at the level in question. If two out of these six patients show grade II CTC toxicity, MTD has been reached and the dose is reduced to 2/3 of the used escalation step. At the MTD thus determined, patients are 35 included so that there are 12 patients in total at the level

in question. The treatment is postponed for at the most 3 weeks in the case of non-restoration. No dose escalation is to be made with respect to the individual patient. The ICRF-187 dose has been selected so as to obtain a ratio of 1:1
5 between the substances.

3. Analysis criteria

3.a. Response

The ideal thing is that all measurable lesions are measured at each evaluation. If multiple lesions are found, this may
10 not be possible, and under such circumstances up to 8 representative lesions must be selected.

Measurable disease (measurable uni- or bidimensionally) :

1. Complete response (CR) : Disappearance of all known disease, determined by two observations at intervals of at
15 least 4 weeks.
2. Partial response (PR) : In case of bidimensionally measurable disease, a reduction of at least 50% of the sum of the product of the largest perpendicular diameters of all measurable lesions, determined by two observations at intervals of at least 4 weeks. In case of unidimensionally measurable disease, a reduction of at least 50% of the sum of the largest diameters of all lesions, determined by two observations at intervals of at least 4 weeks.
20
3. No-change disease (NC) : In case of bidimensionally measurable disease, a <50% reduction and a <25% increase of the sum of the product of the largest perpendicular diameters of all measurable lesions. In case of unidimensionally measurable disease, a <50% reduction and a <25% increase of the sum of the diameter of all lesions. No
25 new lesions must arise.
30

4. Progressive disease (PD): A >25% increase of the size of at least one bidimensionally or unidimensionally measurable lesion or appearance of a new lesion.

REFERENCES

5 2. Bork E, Ersbøll J, Dombernowsky P, Bergman B, Hansen M, Hansen HH (1991) Teniposide and etoposide in previously untreated small-cell lung cancer: A randomized study. *J Clin Oncol*, 9: 1627.

10 4. Cornfield J, Mantel N (1950) Some new aspects of the application of maximum likelihood to the calculation of the dosage response curve. *Amer Statis Ass J.* p. 181.

5. Danø K (1971) Development of resistance to daunomycin (NSC-82151) in Ehrlich's ascites tumour. *Cancer Chemother Rep.* 55: 133.

15 6. Donelli MG, Zucchetti M, D'Incalci M (1992) Do anticancer agents reach the tumour target in the human brain? *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 30: 251.

20 7. Finlay GF, Wilson WR, Baguley BC (1989) Chemoprotection by 9-aminoacridine derivatives against the cytotoxicity of topoisomerase II-directed drugs. *Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol.* 25: 1695.

25 12. Herman EH, Witiak DT, Hellmann K, Waravdekar VS (1982) Biological properties of ICRF-159 and related bis(dioxo-piperazine) compounds. *Adv Pharmacol Chemother* 19: 249.

30 13. Holm B, Jensen PB, Sehested M, Hansen HH (1994) In vivo inhibition of etoposide (VP-16) mediated apoptosis, toxicity, and antitumour effect by the topoisomerase II uncoupling anthracycline aclarubicin. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 34: 503.

14. Jensen PB, Roed H, Skovsgaard T, Friche E, Vindeløv LL, Hansen HH, Spang-Thomsen M (1990) Antitumour activity of the two epipodophyllotoxin derivatives VP-16 and VM-26 in preclinical systems: A comparison of in vitro and in vivo drug evaluation. *Cancer Chemther Pharmacol.* 27: 194.
5
15. Jensen PB, Sørensen BS, Demant EJF, Sehested M, Jensen PS, Vindeløv L, Hansen HH (1991) Antagonistic effect of aclarubicin on the cytotoxicity of etoposide and 4'-(9-acridinylamino)methanesulfon-m-anisidine in human small cell lung cancer cell lines and on topoisomerase II-mediated DNA cleavage. *Cancer Res.* 51: 3311.
10
16. Jensen PB, Jensen PS, Demant EJF, Friche E, Sørensen BS, Sehested M, Wassermann K, Vindeløv L, Westergaard O, Hansen HH (1991) Antagonistic effect of aclarubicin on daunorubicin-induced cytotoxicity in human small cell lung cancer cells: Relationship to DNA integrity and topoisomerase II. *Cancer Res.* 51: 5093.
15
17. Jensen PB, Sørensen BS, Sehested M, Demant EJF, Kjeldsen E, Friche E, Hansen HH (1993) Different modes of anthracycline interaction with topoisomerase II. Separate structures critical for DNA-cleavage, and for overcoming topoisomerase II-related drug resistance. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 45: 2025.
20
18. Jensen PB, Sørensen BS, Sehested M, Grue P, Demant EJF, Hansen HH (1994) Targeting the cytotoxicity of topoisomerase II-directed epipodophyllotoxins to tumour cells in acidic environments. *Cancer Res.* 54: 2959.
25
19. Liu LF (1989) DNA topoisomerase poisons as antitumour drugs. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 58: 351.
- 30 20. Pommier Y, Covey J, Kerrigan D, Mattes W, Markovits J, Kohn KW (1987) Role of DNA intercalation in the inhibi-

tion of purified mouse leukemia (L1210) DNA topoisomerase II by 9-aminoacridines. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 36: 3477.

21. Pommier Y, Kohn K (1989) Topoisomerase II inhibition by antitumour intercalators and demethylepipodophyllotoxins.

5 In: R I Glazer (ed) *Development in Cancer Chemotherapy*. Boca Raton FL: CRC Press Inc. p 175.

22. Roca J, Ishida R, Berger JM, Andoh T, Wang JC (1994) Antitumour bis-dioxypiperazines inhibit yeast DNA topoisomerase II by trapping the enzyme in the form of a closed protein clamp. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 91: 1781.

10 23. Roca J, Wang JC (1994) DNA transport by a type II DNA topoisomerase: Evidence in favor of a two-gate mechanism. *Cell*, 77: 609.

15 24. Rowe T, Kupfer G, Ross W (1985) Inhibition of epipodophyllotoxin cytotoxicity by interference with topoisomerase-mediated DNA-cleavage. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 34: 2483.

20 25. Sehested M, Jensen PB, Sørensen BS, Holm B, Friche E, Demant EJF (1993) Antagonistic effect of the cardioprotector (+)-1,2-bis(3,5-dioxopiperazinyl-1-yl)propane (ICRF-187) on DNA breaks and cytotoxicity induced by the topoisomerase II directed drugs daunorubicin and etoposide (VP-16). *Biochem Pharmacol.* 46: 389.

25 26. Skipper HE, Schabel Jr FM, Wilcox WS (1964) Experimental evaluation of potential anticancer agents. XIII. On the criteria and kinetics associated with "curability" of experimental leukemia. *Cancer Chemother Rep.* 35: 1.

30 27. Tanabe K, Ikegami Y, Ishida R, Andoh T (1991) Inhibition of topoisomerase II by antitumour agents bis(2,6-dioxo-piperazine) derivatives. *Cancer Res.* 51: 4903.

28. Tewey KM, Rowe TC, Yang L, Halligan BD, Liu LF (1984) Adriamycin-induced DNA damage mediated by mammalian DNA topoisomerase II. *Science* 226: 466.
29. Von Hoff DD, Soares N, Gormley P, Poplack DG (1980) Pharmacokinetics of ICRF-187 in the cerebrospinal fluid of subhuman primates. *Cancer Treat Rep.* 64: 734.
5

CLAIMS

1. A method for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within a compartment of the organism of a large mammal, in particular a human, comprising administering to a mammal an effective tumour- or metastasis-killing amount of a topo-isomerase II poison except doxorubicin, and protecting non-tumourous tissue of the mammal against the toxic action of the topoisomerase II poison by administration of a bis-dioxypiperazine compound.
- 10 2. A method for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within the central nervous system of a large mammal, in particular a human, comprising administering to a mammal an effective CNS-tumour- or metastasis-killing amount of a topoisomerase II poison except doxorubicin, and protecting non-CNS tissue of the mammal against the toxic action of the topoisomerase II poison by administration of a bis-dioxypiperazine compound,

the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine compound being selected and administered, with respect to their amounts, expressed in mg per m^2 of the mammal and with respect to the ratio between their amounts, in such a manner that in mice, the corresponding amounts (the conversion factor being so that 1 mg/kg in a large animal, such as a human of a height of 180 cm and weighing 70 kg corresponding to a surface area of about $1.87 m^2$, corresponds to 3 mg/kg in a mouse) will conform to the following criteria:

- i) in mice inoculated into the cerebrum with 15×10^4 Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells or 10×10^4 L1210 leukemia cells in a volume of 30 μl of isotonic sodium chloride inoculated on day 0 into the temporal region, treatment i.p. on day 2 with the amount of the topoisomerase II poison and with simultaneous treatment with the amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine compound results in an increased life span of at least 125% compared to the increased life span in mice treated with

topoisomerase II poison alone in an equitoxic dose (the equitoxic dose being calculated as the dose which in healthy mice results in a similar lethality as the combination); and/or

5 ii) in mice treated with the topoisomerase II poison alone and combined with the bis-dioxypiperazine compound, respectively, the LD₁₀ of the combination is at least 25% higher than the LD₁₀ of the topoisomerase II poison alone.

10 3. A method for selectively killing intraperitoneal or intra-pleural tumour or metastatic cells within the body of a large mammal, in particular a human, comprising administering to a mammal an effective tumour- or metastasis-killing amount of a topoisomerase II poison except doxorubicin and daunorubicin, and protecting non-tumourous tissue of the mammal against the toxic action of the topoisomerase II poison by administration 15 of a bis-dioxypiperazine compound, the administration of topoisomerase II poison being performed locally while the bis-dioxypiperazine compound is being administered systemically.

20 4. A method according to any of claims 1-3 wherein the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine compound are selected and administered to further conform to the following criterion:

25 iii) in mice inoculated i.p. with 15×10⁶ Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells on day 0, treatment i.p. on day 4 with the amount of the topoisomerase II poison and with simultaneous treatment with the amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine compound results in an increased life span of at the most 200%, such as at the most 150%, preferably at the most 125%, compared to 30 the increased life span in mice treated with the topoisomerase II poison alone in an equitoxic dose (the equitoxic dose being defined as in claim 2).

5. A method according to any of claims 1-4, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a dosage amount

equivalent to at least 200 mg/m² of etoposide, such as at least 500 mg/m² of etoposide, preferably at least 1000 mg/m² of etoposide, more preferably at least 1200 mg/m² of etoposide, even more preferred at least 1500 mg/m² of etoposide.

5 6. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a dosage amount equivalent to at least 2000 mg/m² of etoposide, such as at least 2500 mg/m² of etoposide, preferably at least 3000 mg/m² of etoposide, such as 3500 mg/m² of etoposide.

10 7. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the bis-dioxypiperazine compound is administered to the mammal in a dosage amount equivalent to at least 200 mg/m² of ICRF-187 such as at least 500 mg/m² of ICRF-187, preferably at least 1000 mg/m² of ICRF-187, more preferably at least 1500 mg/m² of ICRF-187.

20 8. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the bis-dioxypiperazine compound is administered to the mammal in a dosage amount equivalent of at least 2000 mg/m² of ICRF-187, such as at least 2500 mg/m² of ICRF-187, preferably at least 3000 mg/m² of ICRF-187, such as 3500 mg/m² of ICRF-187.

25 9. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the ratio between the dosage amount of topoisomerase II poison and the dosage amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine to be administered is in the range of 1:6 - 6:1, such as 1:4 - 4:1, preferably in the range of 1:3 - 3:1, calculated on the weight of the active drugs.

30 10. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the ratio between the dosage amount of topoisomerase II poison and the dosage amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine to be administered is in the range of 1:2 - 2:1, preferably in the

range of 1.5:2 - 2:1.5, the most preferred ratio being 1:1, calculated on the weight of the active drugs.

11. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a high 5 dosage amount such as a dosage of between 3 and 15 g/m².

12. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the mammal further receives a second therapy for stimulation of the hemopoietic tissue and/or receives transplantation of hemopoietic tissue.

10 13. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine are administered substantially simultaneously.

14. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the bis-dioxypiperazine is administered prior to the 15 administration of the topoisomerase II.

15. A method according to claim 14, wherein the bis-dioxypiperazine is administered in the period of from 72 hours before the administration of the topoisomerase II poison to 24 hours after, such as from 48 hours before to 12 hours 20 after, more preferred from 24 hours before to 6 hours after, even more preferred from 12 hours before to 3 hours after, still more preferred from 6 hours before to 1 hour after, most preferred from 3 hours before to 1 hour after the administration of the topoisomerase II poison.

25 16. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine compound are selected and administered to conform to the following criterion:

30 i) in mice inoculated into the cerebrum with 15×10^4 Ehrlich's ascites tumour cells in a volume of 30 µl of isotonic sodium chloride inoculated on day 0 into the temporal region, treat-

ment i.p. on day 2 with the amount of the topoisomerase II poison and with simultaneous treatment with the amount of the bis-dioxypiperazine compound results in an increased life span of at least 150%, such as at least 200%, preferably at 5 least 250%, such as about 300%, compared to the increased life span in mice treated with topoisomerase II poison alone in an equitoxic dose as defined in claim 2.

17. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine 10 compound are selected and administered to conform to the following criterion:

ii) in mice treated with the topoisomerase II poison alone and combined with the bis-dioxypiperazine compound, respectively, the LD₁₀ of the combination is at least 15 50% higher, such as at least 100% higher, preferably at least 150% higher, than the LD₁₀ of the topoisomerase II poison alone.

18. A method according any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison and the bis-dioxypiperazine 20 compound are selected and administered to conform to the following criterion:

iia) in mice treated with the topoisomerase II poison alone and combined with the bis-dioxypiperazine compound, respectively, the LD₁₀ of the combination is at least 25 200% higher, even more preferred at least 250% higher, preferably at least 300% higher, more preferred at least 350% higher, such as 360% higher, than the LD₁₀ of the topoisomerase II poison alone.

19. A method according to any of the preceding claims, where 30 in the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a schedule where an administration is separated from the next administration by at least 2 days (48 hours), such as by 3 days.

20. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a schedule where an administration is separated from the next administration by at least 4 days, such as by 5 days.

5 21. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is administered in a schedule where each administration is separated from the next administration by at least 12 hours, such as about 24 hours or 2 days.

10 22. A method according to claim 21, wherein each administration is separated from the next administration by from about 3 days to about 3 weeks.

15 23. A method according to claim 22, wherein each administration is separated from the next administration by about 7 days.

24. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is etoposide.

25. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is teniposide (VM-26).

20 26. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is etoposide-phosphate.

27. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is daunorubicin.

28. A method according to any of the preceding claims, where-
25 in the bis-dioxypiperazine is ICRF-187.

29. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the topoisomerase II poison is selected from the group consisting of etoposide (VP-16), etoposide-phosphate, tenipo-

side (VM-26) (has a potency which is about 10 fold more potent than VP-16), m-AMSA, daunorubicin, and mitoxantrone.

30. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the mammal further receives a topoisomerase II poison
5 alone and/or one or more other anticancer agents.

31. A pharmaceutical kit for selectively killing tumour or metastatic cells within the central nervous system of a large mammal, in particular a human, said kit comprising

a) a dosage unit of a bis-dioxypiperazine and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, and
10

b) a dosage unit of topoisomerase II poisons except doxorubicin and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

32. Use of a dosage unit of topoisomerase II poisons except doxorubicin and a dosage unit of a bis-dioxypiperazine
15 together with a suitable pharmaceutical carrier for the preparation of a medicament for the treatment of malignant tumours of the CNS, including metastasis of the CNS of a mammal such as a human.

1/1





Fig. 1



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record.**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER: _____**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.